UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,593	02/05/2007	Eckhard Bauer	N81813LPK	3663
	7590 05/03/201 DDAK COMPANY	0	EXAMINER	
PATENT LEGA			HA, NGUYEN Q	
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,593	BAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
'Wyn' Q. HA	2854	

		'Wyn' Q. HA	2854	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REP	PLY FILED <u>20 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ The app app for 0	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on lication, applicant must timely file one of the following relication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Clods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	of Appeal. To avoid abar avit, or other evidence, w se with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	n.
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exters of the state of the	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complige the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with MENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
(a)[(b)[(c)[e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.	nsideration and/or search (see Nw); ter form for appeal by materially	OTE below); reducing or simplifying tl	
4.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): why proposed or amended claim(s) would be all-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment (l	
7. For how The Clai Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [v the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: 1-10. im(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bec	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
REQUES	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IT FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
<u>Se</u> 12.	ee Continuation Sheet. ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (her:	,		
/Judy N		NQH		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 3 and 8-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagatani et al (US 6,047,148) although there were indeed some minor editorial errors on page 5 of the Office action mailed on 3/2/2010. Namely, on page 5 of the Office action, the examiner inadvertently cited some paragraphs from Nagatani as well as some paragraphs from another reference Takano et al (US 6,029,041). However, these cited paragraphs are independent from one another and would not affect the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection based solely on Nagatani.

The amendments to the specification as filed doesn't appear to reflect or interconnect with what is illustrated in fig. 3 of the specification originally disclosed, but merely repeat of what was and is being claimed. Even if there were interconnections between the admended specification and fig. 3, all the rejections would still stand because these rejections, as detailed in the Office action, were based at least on page 3 lines 5-15 and page 7 lines 26-28 of the specification, which applicant believes to be the support for the amended specification.

To this end, the Office action has addressed all the recited limitations which have been achieved by prior art of record, regardless of any different advantage or intended use brought by the present invention.